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Migration flows of unprecedented volume and complexity within, as well as in and out of Asia have become one of the main drivers of contemporary social change in the region. In this context, approaches to studying international migration have highlighted the institutions, infrastructures, processes, practices, consequences and experiences of migration while attending to its multi-directional, multi-sited, multi-causal and open-ended nature. New spatialities and temporalities—from transnational families, mobile cities, diaspora-and-development, global care chains, contact zones, spaces of encounter to multinational migrations—have animated migration research as material borders and socially constructed boundary lines are transgressed, rigidified or redrawn in the face of multiple-, hyper- and transnational migrations and mobilities.

In view of the increasing conceptual breadth and theoretical sophistication of international migration scholarship, it follows that our research practices need to evolve to embrace the quickened pace of mobilities and the heightened sense of transience, simultaneity and otherness experienced by the migrant (and non-migrant) subject within interlocking lifeworlds. As Collins and Huang (2012, p.270) observe, contemporary migration scholarship “draws on a wide range of research methodologies, reflecting the multi-disciplinary character of the field, the diverse sites and subjects of migration, and the varied concepts and theories that underpin this area of study”. These methodologies have broadened to include computational, quantitative, qualitative and mixed-method approaches and techniques as exemplified by the use of longitudinal surveys, sequence analysis, social networks analysis; mental mapping, multi-sited ethnographies, go-along ethnography, visual ethnography, life-story interviews, paired/unpaired interviews, participatory methods and data visualization techniques (Büscher, Urry, and Witchger, 2010; Amelina and Faist, 2012; Meeus, 2012; Martiniello, 2017; Fauser, 2018; Ryan and D’Angelo, 2018).

Despite “all this methodological development, innovation and cross-fertilisation” within the scholarship on migration, the discipline has—to date—failed to fully explore, interrogate, review and compare the merit and design of such methods (Collins and Huang, 2012, p.270). The authors continue to argue that there has also been limited “reflection on the ethical and conceptual implications of these choices” (Collins and Huang, 2010, p. 270). This workshop responds to their observation by providing a collaborative forum to bring together migration scholars with diverse methodological interests and expertise.

We invite papers that focus on innovative methodological approaches while drawing on substantive findings relevant to “Asian migrations” (broadly defined to refer to migration flows within, as well as in and out of Asia) in order to grapple with the challenges and possibilities in conducting migration research. Contextually grounded papers that pursue one or more of the following questions are particularly welcome:

- How do we research new migration-led spatialities and temporalities in mobile times across interconnected worlds?
- How do we work with multi-directionality, multi-causality and provisionality in contemporary migrations? How do we map multinational migrations over time and space?
- What kinds of methodological routes can be pursued to go beyond the single case in migration research and to seriously contemplate multi-sited research, develop comparative transnational frameworks, increase awareness of the connectivities across scales and units of analysis, and instill wariness of methodological nationalism?
- How do we equip ourselves for the task of boundary-crossing, a task which goes hand in hand with supporting a socially progressive migration agenda?
- How do we devise research practices that would contribute towards dismantling institutionalized practices which reproduce racisms, nationalisms and social privilege, and pave the way for a more inclusive approach in our work as migration researchers?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Session Title</th>
<th>Chairperson</th>
<th>Speakers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9:30 – 9:45</td>
<td>Welcome &amp; Opening Remarks</td>
<td>BRENDA S.A. YEoh FBA</td>
<td>National University of Singapore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:45 – 11:15</td>
<td>Panel 1</td>
<td>New Spatialities</td>
<td>TBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:45</td>
<td>Multinational Migration Methodologies for Capital-Rich and Capital-Constrained Migration Scholars</td>
<td>ANJU MARY PAUL</td>
<td>Yale-NUS College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:05</td>
<td>The Comparative Sequential Method and Its Use in International Comparative Research on Migrant Sending States</td>
<td>MARGARET WALTON-ROBERTS</td>
<td>Wilfrid Laurier University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:25</td>
<td>Multi-Directional Migration and Messiness: Methodological Approaches and Implications</td>
<td>ELAINE LYNN-EE HO</td>
<td>National University of Singapore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:45</td>
<td>Question &amp; Answer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:15 – 12:45</td>
<td>Break</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:45 – 14:45</td>
<td>Panel 2</td>
<td>LifeCourse Trajectories and Family Biographies</td>
<td>TBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:45</td>
<td>The Effect of First Migration on Childbirths among Filipino and Indonesian Domestic Workers in Hong Kong</td>
<td>TIM F. LIAO</td>
<td>University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:05</td>
<td>Relative Importance of Taking Care of Elderly Parents and Children in Hiring Domestic Workers</td>
<td>ERIC FONG</td>
<td>The University of Hong Kong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:25</td>
<td>Where Should Our Children Live, and When Should They Live There? Integrating Accounts of Separation and Coresidence of International Migrant Families in Yiwu, China</td>
<td>RYAN RYLEE</td>
<td>Lijiang Culture and Tourism College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:45</td>
<td>Marriage Migration and the Linked Lives of Transnational Couples in Singapore</td>
<td>THEODORA LAM</td>
<td>National University of Singapore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:05</td>
<td>Question &amp; Answer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:45 – 15:15</td>
<td>Break</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
15:15 – 17:15 PANEL 3 | COMMUNICATION, NETWORKS AND BELONGING

**CHAIRPERSON**
TBA

**15:15**
Lifetime Experience of Parental Migration and the Well-Being of Young Adults in Indonesia and the Philippines
ELSPETH GRAHAM | University of St Andrews

**15:35**
Digital Migrations: Connectivity and Belonging in Diasporic Formations
SANDRA PONZANESI | Utrecht University

**15:55**
From ‘Follow-Up’ To ‘Following’ In Researching Youth Migration Longitudinally: Notes from A Laos-Based Study
ROY HUIJSMANS | Erasmus University

**16:15**
Tracing Translocal Figurations of Displacement in Asia
SARAH A. TOBIN | Chr. Michelsen Institute
FAWWAZ A. MOMANI | Yarmouk University

**16:35**
QUESTION & ANSWER

**17:15**
END OF DAY ONE
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Panel</th>
<th>Session</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10:00 – 12:00</td>
<td>PANEL 4</td>
<td>TEMPORALITIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00</td>
<td></td>
<td>Making Meanings and Making Sense of Transnational Migration:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The Extended Scale Method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STEVE MCKAY</td>
<td>University of California, Santa Cruz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:20</td>
<td></td>
<td>Multi-Verse Methodologies: Lessons for Migration Research in Asia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DENISE L. SPITZER</td>
<td>University of Alberta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:40</td>
<td></td>
<td>Re-Embedding the Natural Environment in Asian Migrations through</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Feminist Political Ecology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHRISTINE GIBB</td>
<td>University of Ottawa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ample/Idle?: Critical Ethnography of Migrant Youth’s Time during</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>the Pandemic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHIANPHACHONG INTARAT</td>
<td>University of Hawaiʻi at Mānoa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:20</td>
<td></td>
<td>QUESTION &amp; ANSWER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00 – 13:30</td>
<td></td>
<td>BREAK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:30 – 15:00</td>
<td>PANEL 5</td>
<td>MULTI-SCALAR AND MULTI-PERSPECTIVE APPROACHES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:30</td>
<td></td>
<td>Thank You for Your Patience but I Can’t Go Out! Methodological and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ethical Reflections on the Temporalities and Spatialities of Working</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>with Filipina Domestic Workers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELIZER JAY Y. DE LOS REYES</td>
<td>National University of Singapore</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AUDREY YUE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:50</td>
<td></td>
<td>A Multi-Sited Research in Pandemic Times: Mapping the Migration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Trajectories Of Transnational Families Through Geospatial Tools and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Virtual Communications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRANCHESCA ROSE MORAIS</td>
<td>National University of Singapore</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:10</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mapping the Short-Term Placemaking Practices of Millennial Nomads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIMONE SHU-YENG CHUNG</td>
<td>National University of Singapore</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MARY ANN NG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:30</td>
<td></td>
<td>QUESTION &amp; ANSWER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:00 – 16:00</td>
<td></td>
<td>BREAK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## PANEL 6 | NEW MIGRANTS, NEW AGENDAS

**Chairperson:** TBA

### 16:00

**Disrupting the Urban Labour Archive: Common Sense and the Life-Histories of Migrant Workers in Delhi**  
*Debolina Majumder* | University of Cambridge

### 16:20

**Uneven Migrations: Locating Place and Practice in Temporary Labour Migration from Sri Lanka**  
*Matt Withers* | Macquarie University

### 16:40

**Re-Thinking Migration Methodologies through Ethnography of Migrant Workers’ Health and Healthcare Entitlement across Sri Lanka and Kuwait**  
*Sajida Ally* | University of Sussex

### 17:00

**The Dyadic Effects of Positive Parenting on Resilience of Caregivers and Adolescents in the Context of Migration**  
*Yao Fu* | University of Hong Kong  
*Lucy Jordan* | University of Hong Kong

### 17:20

**Question & Answer**

---

**18:00 – 18:30**

**Closing Remarks**

**18:30**

**End of Workshop**
Multinational Migration Methodologies for Capital-Rich and Capital-Constrained Migration Scholars

Anju Mary Paul
Yale-NUS College
anju.paul@yale-nus.edu.sg

Multinational migrations present particular methodological challenges for migration scholars, and these accentuate the existing challenges that plague studies of single-origin-single-destination international migrations. The extended-temporality, multi-spatiality, and complexity of multinational migrations add to the existing methodological issues surrounding most migration research. This paper explores particular methodological approaches to tackle each of these three issues, drawing from a mixed-methods, multi-part study of the stepwise international labor migrations of Filipino and Indonesian migrant domestic workers. Stepwise migration is a multinational migration pattern adopted by capital- and information-constrained migrants who are unable to travel directly and immediately to their preferred destination country, and instead have to engage in an initial migration to a country that is accessible to them given their capital constraints. However, once overseas, these migrants are able to acquire and accrue new capital and also new information that can be leveraged to climb their destination hierarchy.

This study involved multi-sited surveys with 1,200 Filipino and Indonesian domestic workers, and in-depth interviews with 160 of these workers, and spanned eight years and five research sites (Singapore, Hong Kong, the Philippines, Canada, and the United States). I highlight how the different methods and research designs deployed in this study were able to complement each other in capturing the complex migration patterns being aspired to and even more complex patterns being adopted by migrant domestic workers.

But I also discuss how this project grew organically, with new research questions, new research sites, and new methods being added incrementally to the project scope, as I learned more about the phenomenon of stepwise migration. I highlight how I adapted my methods in response to the capital constraints I myself experienced at various points in the research process. These constraints include visa restrictions that prevented me from journeying to Canada to conduct in-person fieldwork, and the lack of funds and time to follow the subsequent movements of most of my interviewees. In this manner, I attempt to show how the study of multinational migrations is not exclusive to those with “high capital,” and that even capital- and information-constrained migration scholars are able to study multinational migrations.
Anju Mary Paul is an Associate Professor of sociology at Yale-NUS College, Singapore and an international migration scholar with a research focus on emergent migration to, from, and within Asia. She is especially interested in how gender, labour, race and ethnicity, as well as class intersect at the moment of migration and the post-migration experience. Her first book, “Multinational Maids” (Cambridge University Press 2017), explores the stepwise international labour migration patterns and strategies being adopted by Filipino and Indonesian migrant domestic workers. She has also recently published an edited volume of essays written by her students on spaces of globalisation in Singapore entitled “Local Encounters in a Global City” (Ethos Books 2017). She has also published articles in the top journals in sociology and migration studies including the American Journal of Sociology, Social Forces, Migration Studies, the Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies, Global Networks, and Ethnic and Racial Studies. Her latest project, “Asian Scientists on the Move,” looks at the various migrations of Asian-born, Western-trained bioscientists and the ideas about science and scientific research that they take with them as they travel from country to country.
This paper reports on stage one of a SSHRC funded international research project that includes researchers from Canada, Germany and Singapore that focuses on diverse international nurse migration pathways. The research contrasts three nurse migration pathways; two-step or study-work pathways that allow for visa change from student to permanent status (India-Canada), bi-lateral 'triple win' or fair migration agreements (Vietnam-Germany), and 'bus stop', temporary worker migration models that are a prelude to onward step migration (Philippines-Singapore). The first stage of research includes a scan of relevant policies, agreements, and partnerships that relate to immigration, skills mobility and educational partnerships between these countries in the area of nursing education, training and international migration. The research in phase one uses the Comparative Sequential Method (Falleti & Mahoney, 2015), an overarching methodology of comparative-historical analysis, to understand what has happened in sending states that might facilitate nurse training and educational toward servicing international markets. This method includes inductive process tracing that allows for discovery of events that occurred in a sequence that were not anticipated, and for allowing the assembly of events into coherent sequences. Key occurrences that have been identified in the three sending countries of India, Philippines and Vietnam include demographic factors, economic liberalization processes, emigration policy and bilateral agreement development, and educational reform and privatization. These occurrences typically create the building blocks of sequences that permit historical comparison and theory development. Such analysis permits identification of continuous, self-amplifying, and self-eroding processes, for example whether private investment in education for migration becomes a self-amplifying process? This paper evaluates this methodology for research that explores several cases within an internationally comparative framework.

Margaret Walton-Roberts is a professor in the Geography and Environmental studies department at Wilfrid Laurier University, and affiliated to the Balsillie School of International Affairs, Waterloo Ontario. Her current research focuses on the international migration of health care professionals within Asia and from Asia to North America and Europe.
Multi-Directional Migration and Messiness: Methodological Approaches and Implications

Elaine Lynn-Ee Ho
National University of Singapore
elaine.ho@nus.edu.sg

Although the concept and practice of migration seems to be premised upon the flows of people across space, researchers inadvertently “fix” people in space (or spatial contexts) when they consider migrants as subjects of study. For example, we tend to isolate “immigration” or “emigration” trends as separate fields of study, anchored in either a migrant-receiving or migrant-sending country respectively. What are the implications, however, if our starting point of analysis is one that captures how “multi-directional migration” flows intersect in a migration site or through such flows forge (citizenship) connections across migration sites? I consider three lines of inquiry in this paper: (1) Why should we study multi-directional migration in all its messiness? (2) What are the epistemological and methodological tools that could be useful for studying multi-directional migration? (3) Lastly, what would mapping multi-directional migration look like, how can this be operationalised, and what political effects would such an endeavour achieve? To examine these questions, this presentation draws on nearly a decade of multi-sited research—mainly using interviews and ethnography—on Chinese migration connecting Singapore to China.

Elaine Lynn-Ee Ho is Associate Professor at the Department of Geography and Senior Research Fellow at the Asia Research Institute (ARI), National University of Singapore. Her research addresses how citizenship is changing as a result of multi-directional migration flows in the Asia-Pacific. She is author of Citizens in Motion: Emigration, Immigration and Re-migration across China’s Borders (Stanford University Press), which received the American Sociological Association’s (ASA) award for “Best Book in Global and Transnational Sociology by an International Scholar” in 2019. Elaine is Editor of the journal, Social and Cultural Geography, and serves on the journal editorial boards of Citizenship Studies; Emotions, Society and Space; and the Singapore Journal of Tropical Geography. Her current research focuses on two domains: first, transnational ageing and care in the Asia-Pacific; and second, im/mobilities and diaspora aid at the China-Myanmar border.
The Effect of First Migration on Childbirths among Filipino and Indonesian Domestic Workers in Hong Kong

Tim F. Liao  
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign  
tfliao@illinois.edu

The relationship between migrant workers’ migratory moves and their marital fertility is complex. The literature on international migration contains three main explanations of the relationship—the disruption hypothesis about the impact of migration on the timing and spacing of births, the adaptation hypothesis on migrants’ adjustments of their fertility to that in the place of destination, and the selectivity hypothesis concerning unobserved characteristics such as the desire for upward mobility and its subsequent effects on both fertility and migration. This research note focuses on the disruption hypothesis in an examination of Filipino and Indonesian migrant domestic workers’ migration and fertility trajectories, using the 2017 survey of Filipino and Indonesian migrant domestic workers in Hong Kong. The findings from the analysis suggest a disruptive effect of migration on childbirths for the women in their twenties from both Indonesia and the Philippines but not for the older age cohorts.

Tim F. Liao is Professor of Sociology, Statistics, and East Asian Languages & Cultures and Head of the Department of Sociology at the University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign. Until recently, he served as Director of the Center for East Asian & Pacific Studies at the University of Illinois. He is a Deputy Editor of the journal *Demography*. He is a founding member of the Sequence Analysis Association and serves on the association’s executive committee (https://sequenceanalysis.org/).
Relative Importance of Taking Care of Elderly Parents and Children in Hiring Domestic Workers

Eric Fong*
The University of Hong Kong
ewcfong@hku.hk
*corresponding author

Kumiko Shibuya
The University of Hong Kong

Studies of foreign domestic helpers are often based on qualitative data collected through in-depth interviews. Although some surveys have been conducted to understand topics related to foreign domestic helpers, a population-wide survey is rare. In this study, we use Hong Kong census in a novel way to understand the decision of households to hire foreign domestic helpers. The use of census data opens a new avenue for understanding issues related to the hiring of foreign domestic helpers. Our study explores the demand side of the has been seen as a policy to release females from household responsibilities so they can join the labor market. There is a surprising absence of research analyzing the “demand side,” particularly the characteristics of households with and without helpers. Beyond the broad assumption that families with more financial resources and care-taking needs are more likely than others to hire domestic helpers, there is little information about the ways that these resources and needs interact in hiring a foreign domestic helper and whether there is any difference in the processes of hiring one helper and hiring multiple helpers.

Employing the 2016 Hong Kong census, we have explored how different needs in the household are related to the hiring of migrant domestic helpers. We assume that hiring a foreign domestic helper is a joint household decision, as the benefit and cost of having a foreign domestic helper usually is shared by various household members. Our analysis of hiring decisions by households uses the sequential logistic regression model. We consider hiring decisions to be made in stages: additional helpers are hired as needs arise. Our findings suggest that the number of elderly persons and the number of young children in the household are positively associated with the decision to hire foreign domestic helpers. Our analysis also demonstrates members are more likely to choose to work and outsource the care of young children to foreign domestic helpers. However, the findings show that households are more likely to take care elderly without helpers even when household members are employed. Household members may coordinate and allocate time to take care of their elderly instead of outsourcing the care to a foreign domestic helper.
Eric Fong is Professor, Chair in Sociology in the Department of Sociology and the head of the Research Cluster on Contemporary China in the Faculty of Social Sciences at the University of Hong Kong. Before joining the University of Hong Kong, he served as Chair of the Department of Sociology and the inaugural Director of the Research Centre on Migration and Mobility at the Chinese University of Hong Kong. Prior to returning to Hong Kong in 2016, Fong had been Professor of Sociology at the University of Toronto for over 20 years. Fong formerly served as President of the Canadian Population Society and the North America Chinese Sociologist Association, as well as Chair of the International Migration Section of the American Sociological Association. Fong publishes widely on race and ethnic residential patterns and immigration. His latest book with Brent Berry, *Immigration and the City*, was published by Polity Press in 2017. He led a research team to collect large-scale survey data on Hong Kong migrant domestic helpers in 2016.

Kumiko Shibuya is Associate Professor in the Faculty of Social Sciences at the University of Hong Kong. Her publications appeared, among others, in *American Sociological Review*, *Annual Review of Sociology*, and *Demography*. She is currently working on a book on migrant domestic workers.
Where Should Our Children Live, and When Should They Live There? Integrating Accounts of Separation and Coresidence of International Migrant Families in Yiwu, China

**Ryan Rylee**
Lijiang Culture and Tourism College
rrylee@protonmail.com

**Chen Chen***
Asian Demographic Research Institute, Shanghai University
chenchen923@shu.edu.cn
*corresponding author

In the last two decades, China has become an increasingly popular destination for international migration. Some migrants in China alternate between periods of separation and coresidence with their spouse and children, including in Yiwu, a global hub for trade in small commodities and a host city to more than 13,000 international residents from countries across the Global South. Drawing on a fourfold typology of “household arrangement” (single, sole, couple, and family), we investigate how the spatial organization of migrant families changes over time, focusing on sole-to-family and family-to-sole transitions among international migrants in Yiwu who are married to a non-Chinese (i.e., fellow migrant) spouse and have children. Through in-depth interviews, we elicit family biographies and migration histories, and we identify how the timing and sequencing of family separations and periods of coresidence are related to events in the life courses of family members, conditions and people the origin and destination, the desires of husbands/fathers for children to be educated in particular ways, and their desires for happiness, love, and companionship. Methodologically, we show the value of changes in and durations of household arrangement as an analytical tool for comparing narratives across households: this approach highlights the logic and timing of changes in the spatialization of a migrant’s family as the product of relationships among family members, aspirations, cultural norms, and institutions. We find the educational trajectories of children to be central to changes in household arrangement, in connection with parental preferences and place-specific resources. In many cases, family co-residence in the destination during children’s pre-school years is followed by the return of the wife and children to the origin when the eldest child reaches school age, a form of circularity that has received little notice in migration research.

Ryan Rylee is a lecturer at Lijiang Culture and Tourism College. He has researched migration and the integration of international migrant families in the city of Yiwu, China, and published on the educational profiles of return migrants in Population Research and Policy Review. He has a J.D. from UCLA School of Law and has practiced law in California. Currently, he is exploring ecological agriculture, multispecies relationships, and related sciences in Yunnan from an anthropological perspective.

Chen Chen is Assistant Professor and Deputy Director at the Asian Demographic Research Institute at Shanghai University. She received her Ph.D. in Geography from UCLA. Her research employs quantitative and qualitative methods to understand changing patterns of internal and international migration, including in relation to family, gender, and generation.
Marriage Migration and the Linked Lives of Transnational Couples in Singapore

Research on migration in recent decades have established the increasing importance of studying migration within the household/familial context, placing the emphasis on “mutual interdependence” rather than “individual independence” (Gubhaju and De Jong, 2009: 32). Despite the shift, few migration studies have yet dedicated sufficient attention on interrogating the extent and effects of the “interdependence” of various individuals within the migrant household. In particular, a linked lives approach – often used when studying intergenerational or spousal relations and influences – has rarely been applied within the migration framework. Defined simply, linked lives allow researchers the opportunity to examine “lives that are lived interdependently, and [where] social and historical influences are expressed through this network of shared relationships” (Elder, 1998: 4). Given that spouses often form “the most intensive linkages because they move through the life course together” (Lundquist and Xu, 2014: 1066), a linked lives approach would therefore provide an especially valuable theoretical grounding for understanding the significantly connected yet fluid lives of marriage migrants over time and even space.

In this vein, this paper aims to highlight how a linked lives approach is useful in furthering the understanding of the “mutually intertwined life trajectories” (Lundquist and Xu, 2014: 1066) of marriage migrant couples in Singapore consisting of a Southeast Asian wife and a Singaporean husband dyad. To paraphrase Settersten (2015: 217), the paper traces how the respective lives of these married individuals “affect and are [continuously] affected by” each other as well as the lives of others from the point of their meeting through their marital life course. Such a methodological approach in studying marriage migrants offers several advantages. It first allows the researcher to examine the complex interplay of micro and meso/macro effects on the union of two separate individuals from rather different socio-cultural contexts and their subsequent conjoined lives within an environment that is often ‘out of place’ for at least one half of the pair. Besides considering and dissembling both individual and structural factors affecting the linked lives of transnational married couples, the approach allows researchers to situate the changes experienced over and at critical junctures of the couples’ respective life courses. Through the life-stories of 14-paired migrant-wife and Singaporean-husband dyads, this paper stresses the importance of using a linked lives approach toward a deeper understanding of how marriage migrants navigate the strictures of migration, cultural and gender factors in linking their lives together in Singapore.
Theodora Lam is Research Fellow in Asia Research Institute, National University of Singapore (NUS). She obtained her PhD in Geography from NUS and her dissertation focused on understanding changing gender subjectivities, web of care and relationships within the family in the wake of transnational labour migration. Her research highlights the voices of return migrants as well as carers and children who have remained in the home countries. Theodora is currently involved in a longitudinal research project, Child Health and Migrant Parents in Southeast Asia (CHAMPSEA): Waves 1 and 2. Her research interests cover transnational migration, children’s geographies and gender studies. She has co-edited several special journal issues and has also published on themes relating to migration, citizenship and education in various journals and edited books including *American Behavioral Scientist, Asia Pacific Viewpoint, Environment and Planning A* and *Population, Space and Place*.

Shirlena Huang is Associate Professor at the Geography Department at the National University of Singapore (NUS). Her research focuses mainly on issues at the intersection of migration, gender and families (with a particular focus on care labour migration and transnational families within the Asia-Pacific region) as well as urbanisation and heritage conservation (particularly in Singapore). She serves on several editorial boards including *Gender Place and Culture, International Journal of Population Research, Singapore Journal of Tropical Geography*, and *Women’s Studies International Forum*, as well as book series *Asian Cultural Studies: Transnational and Dialogic Approaches* (Rowman & Littlefield) and *The Intimate and the Public in Asian and Global Perspectives* (Brill).

Brenda S.A. Yeoh FBA is Raffles Professor of Social Sciences at the National University of Singapore (NUS) and Research Leader of the Asian Migration Cluster at the Asia Research Institute, NUS. Her research interests include the politics of space in colonial and postcolonial cities, and she also has considerable experience working on a wide range of migration research in Asia, including key themes such as cosmopolitanism and highly skilled talent migration; gender, social reproduction and care migration; migration, national identity and citizenship issues; globalising universities and international student mobilities; and cultural politics, family dynamics and international marriage migrants. She has published widely on these topics and her recent books include *Transnational Labour Migration, Remittances and the Changing Family in Asia* (Palgrave Macmillan, 2015, with Lan Anh Hoang) and *Contested Memoryscapes: The Politics of Second World War Commemoration in Singapore* (Routledge, 2016, with Hamzah Muzaini), *Asian Migrants and Religious Experience: From Missionary Journeys to Labor Mobility* (Amsterdam University Press, 2018 with Bernardo Brown) and *Handbook of Asian Migrations* (Routledge, 2018 with Gracia Liu-Farrer).
Lifetime Experience of Parental Migration and the Well-Being of Young Adults in Indonesia and the Philippines

Several low and middle income countries in Southeast Asia facilitate, if not encourage, their citizens to seek employment in higher income countries of the region and beyond. The advantages at the national scale include the export of surplus labour and the foreign exchange earned through remittances sent by migrants to kin back home, but the costs and benefits for individual family members, especially children, are less clear. The CHAMPSEA project is investigating the short and longer-term effects of parental migration on the health and well-being of children left behind when one or both of their parents is, or has been, working overseas. The first wave of data collection in 2008 interviewed around 1,000 households in each of four Southeast Asian countries, sampling index children in households where at least one parent was an international migrant or both parents were non-migrants over the previous six months. The second wave of data collection in 2016 re-interviewed the same households in two of the study countries – Indonesia and the Philippines – and found that in over a third (35.5%) of households traced the migration status of the parents had changed. Moreover, the detailed migration history data revealed considerable diversity in parental migration trajectories.

This paper explores a novel methodology for capturing the diversity and commonality of children’s experience of parental migration. Although Sequence Analysis (SA) has previously been used in migration studies to summarise the migration trajectories of individual migrants, this is the first paper to encapsulate young adults’ experience of parental migration by applying SA to the combined trajectories of both mothers and fathers over the lifetime of their child – a period of up to 20 years (N = 335 for Indonesia; N = 224 for the Philippines). Our aim is to produce two summary variables for use in the statistical modelling of health and well-being outcomes. The variables are derived in three stages: (i) we describe and visualise the combined parental migration trajectories using the package “TraMineR”; (ii) we derive the first variable as a count of the number of transitions in the detailed migration trajectories to capture stability or change in young adults’ experience of parental migration over time; (iii) we derive the second variable by conducting typological analysis using the “WeightedCluster” package in R in order to summarise the length and timing of parental migration across the lifetime of the young adults. The paper discusses the methodological choices necessary for the derivation of these two variables and reviews an example of their use in models predicting health risk behaviours among young adults in Indonesia and the Philippines. It concludes with reflections on the value of this approach for future research.
Elspeth Graham has recently retired from her role as Co-Director of the ESRC Centre for Population Change, where she jointly led the Fertility and Family research strand, and is now Emeritus Professor of Geography at the University of St Andrews, UK. Her research interests are in population and health and she has published widely on issues related to low fertility in Europe, housing and demography, migration and geographies of health, and left-behind children in Southeast Asia. She has also published in methodological issues, and has been a long-time advocate of mixed-methods research. She is currently working on projects in Europe and Southeast Asia.

Zhou Xiaochen holds a joint PhD degree from King’s College, London and the University of Hong Kong. Her research focuses on education, social stratification, and child welfare. Her research field also includes social policy, migration, and family studies. She is currently working as a postdoctoral research fellow at the University of Hong Kong.

Lucy Jordan is Associate Professor in the Department of Social Work and Social Administration, University of Hong Kong. Her research focuses on how societal transformation impacts on intimate family life and wellbeing. Her scholarship includes study of key themes related to social transformation and family life including migration and transnational families, and other topics related to social vulnerability including intergenerational family relationships, social integration of migrants, commercial sexual exploitation of children, and risk behavior in developing global urban contexts. Her current research focuses on migration and the family in emerging economies of Asia including Hong Kong, China, Indonesia, the Philippines, and Nepal.
Digital Migrations: Connectivity and Belonging in Diasporic Formations

Sandra Ponzanesi
Utrecht University
s.ponzanesi@uu.nl

This intervention revisits the notion of diaspora in connection to recent advancements in communication technologies, which have led to the formation of “digital diasporas”. Digital diaspora makes an important contribution to the debates on diaspora studies in relation to new patterns of globalisation. Yet, the notion of digital diaspora in itself is very hard to pin down, theoretically, methodologically and institutionally.

What kind of methodologies do we use when studying digital diasporas across multiple geopolitical sites and digital platforms? How do we avoid essentialism and methodological nationalism without letting go of the notion of digital diaspora as part of local as well as transnational formations? How does the notion of digital diaspora help us to capture different migrant cartographies also in their intersection and interconnection?

The first part of this talk is concerned with more theoretical issues, of how to define digital diasporas in relation to issues of gender, ethnicity, and affective belonging, focusing on how new technologies enhance new forms of connectivity between the homeland and destination countries, but also across diasporas. The second part is concerned with methodological issues and focuses on how diaspora and digital media studies tend to take either a qualitative, ethnographic perspective or a quantitative, data-driven approach. The former tends not to make use of digital methods or tools, while the latter tends to approach diaspora in static ways that contrast with theories of diaspora as a dynamic cultural formation. By following digitally mediated issues across platforms while drawing on feminist and ethnographic research ethics, a more nuanced and embodied vision emerges of diasporic formations online.

Sandra Ponzanesi is Professor of Gender and Postcolonial Studies, Department of Media and Culture Studies, Utrecht University, the Netherlands. Among her interests is the reception of the postcolonial field in relation to the cultural industry, the understanding of the notion of Europe from a postcolonial perspective, postcolonial cinema studies, and the exploration of digital literacies of migrants in transnational contexts. She is Project leader of the ERC project CONNECTINGEUROPE and Director of the PCI (Postcolonial Studies Initiative). Among her main publications are The Postcolonial Cultural Industry (2014), Postcolonial Cinema Studies (2012) and Paradoxes of Postcolonial Culture (2004). She is also editor of Gender, Globalisation and Violence (Routledge, 2014) and co-editor of Postcolonial Intellectuals in Europe (Rowman and Littlefield International, 2018), Postcolonial Transitions in Europe (Rowman and Littlefield International, 2016), Deconstructing Europe (Routledge, 2012) and Migrant Cartographies (Lexington Books, 2005). She has guest edited several special issues on postcolonial Europe, digital migration and postcolonial cinema for peer-review journals such as Social Identities, Interventions, Crossings, Transnational Cinemas, Popular Communication and Television and New Media.
From ‘Follow-Up’ To ‘Following’ In Researching Youth Migration Longitudinally: Notes from A Laos-Based Study

Roy Huijsmans
International Institute of Social Studies, Erasmus University
huijsmans@iss.nl

The idea of a ‘re-study’, ‘re-visit’ or a ‘follow-up study’ is common across social science scholarship. In this paper I argue the importance and the impossibility of doing such research in relation youth migration. Empirically this is based on field research carried out in early 2020 in rural Laos in which I interviewed a number of young adults whom I had first worked with in 2007-09 when they were mobile children and youth.

I problematize the idea of a ‘re-study’ or ‘follow-up study’ in the following ways. First, in the rapidly changing socio-economic and cultural context of rural Laos the phenomenon of migration needs to be recontextualized and cannot just be ‘re-studied’. Second, generation dynamics problematize the idea of a re-study. The mobile children and youth I worked with in 2007-09 had become young adults in 2020 putting them in a very different life phase which transforms the research interaction in significant ways. Third, the idea of a ‘re-study’ tends to leave unmarked the researcher and how the researcher is no longer the same scientist s/he once was.

The concept of ‘following’ (verb) is more apt. In contemporary ethnographic research, with young people in particular, researcher and researched may stay connected through social media platforms. As a consequence ethnographers never quite leave the field and research relations continue digitally. Moreover, the spatial tagging of a social media platform like Facebook means that such digital following sheds lights on people’s physical mobilities too.

Following comes with conceptual implications while its digital dimension raises ethical concerns. With regard to the former, researcher have to go beyond conventional concepts of youth studies and enrich this the life course and associated concepts in order to understand how life course events (i.e. school-work transitions, marriage, setting up residence, becoming a parent) intersect with migration. In terms of research ethics, there are questions about whether to, and if so, how to use observations from social media platforms for research purposes. In addition, as social media is becoming a vehicle in maintaining research relations beyond the physical field this also raises questions about one’s own social media usage.

Roy Huijsmans is associate professor at the International Institute of Social Studies (Erasmus University). His research interests include the phenomenon of children and youth in migration in relation to broader societal changes and dynamics. He has studied this ethnographically based on research in Laos.
Tracing Translocal Figurations of Displacement in Asia
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Forced migration studies often rely on research at single places and countries. Place-based or territorially-confined approaches are certainly well-suited to investigate how displaced people live and interact with others at certain localities and navigate through governance regimes of aid and asylum. They might, however, fail to capture the inherent multi-sitedness of many displaced people’s everyday lives and their translocal connections across the globe. Our paper will present the multi-sited methodology of the EU-funded Horizon 2020 project “Transnational Figurations of Displacement” (TRAFIG) and how it is being implemented in Asia. Our 3-year multi-country study assumes that translocal connectivity and mobility are among the key factors for moving out of protracted displacement (see Etzold et al. 2019 for details on the TRAFIG concept). As such the project is methodologically innovative, using a mixed approach itself (including ethnographic research, qualitative interviews, group consultations and a survey) and studies the means by which the refugees and IDPs themselves are connected and follows their mobility trajectories to multiple places. It thus largely rests on multi-sited and mobile methods. In Asia, the study examines displaced persons from Afghanistan and Syria, their complex trajectories of displacement, their everyday lives and their translocal networks. Moreover, our flexible methodology allows us to follow refugees’ transnational networks to other countries, potentially as far as Europe where research will be conducted simultaneously. Inspired by figurational sociology we then seek to identify how particular power structures and dependency relations unfold in these ‘translocal figurations of displacement’ and how they change over time.

Sarah A Tobin is an anthropologist working at Chr. Michelsen Institute. In her work she explores transformations in religious and economic life, identity construction and personal piety, in recent years in particular in Jordanian refugee camps.

Fawwaz A. Momani is Associate Professor of Psychological Counseling and former Director of the Refugees, Displaced Persons, and Forced Migration Studies Centre at Yarmouk University in Jordan.

Mudassar Javed is anthropologist and Director of SHARP-Pakistan and works on human rights, sexual and reproductive health and rights education, sexual and gender-based violence as well as migration and human trafficking.

Katja Mielke is a political scientist who works at Bonn International Center for Conversion with a focus on the nexus of violent conflict, mobility and migration in Central- and South Asia, the Middle East and Eastern Europe.

Benjamin Etzold is a geographer at Bonn International Center for Conversion working on trajectories of migration and displacement as well as social vulnerability and livelihoods.
Making Meanings and Making Sense of Transnational Migration:
The Extended Scale Method

Steve McKay
University of California-Santa Cruz
smckay@ucsc.edu

Drawing on a long-term study of Filipino migrant men in one of the most global industries and labor markets, merchant shipping, this article outlines a methodological approach – the extended scale method – that addresses the difficulties of conducting ethnographic research across multiple contexts, while also building theory and conducting structural analysis from subject-level inquiry. The extended scale method combines 2 approaches: Michael Burawoy’s (1998, 2009) “extended case method” and Biao Xiang’s (2013) “multi-scalar ethnography.” My approach to studying Filipino seafarers, their niche in the global labor market, and their gendered and racialized meaning-making centers on their transnational mobile workplace: I conducted participant observation on 3 different ships with mixed-nationality crews to examine how space and place influence intersectional boundary-making. But my case also required I “extend” my ethnographic analysis (a la Burawoy) beyond a single site: into the migratory lives of my participants; across space and time; and from micro-analysis to theory-building. In adapting Burawoy to transnational migration, I draw on Xiang’s multi-scalar approach, examining the interplay between subject-level meaning-making and broader social processes. My extended scalar approach includes: at the global scale, interviews at a crewing company in Tokyo, Japan to examine how labor demand results in a racialized and segmented global market; at the national scale, interviews in the Philippines with government officials, manning agents, and school instructors to understand how seafarers are prepped, marketed, and regulated; and finally, at the community scale, ethnographic interviews in seafarers’ communities in the Philippines to understand what masculinity and mobility locally mean, and how masculinity is performed and constructed by migrants situationally when they leave the confines of their ships and return home. The extended scale method thus helps make visible the link between subjects and structural forces and allows one to examine multiple mechanisms that underlie the interaction.
Steve McKay is Associate Professor of Sociology and Director of the Center for Labor Studies at the University of California, Santa Cruz. His interests include labor, migration, race, gender and globalization. His research has examined migrant seafarers from the Philippines, the Filipino niche in global shipping, and constructions and performance of masculinity and race in transnational contexts. He is author of *Satanic Mills or Silicon Islands? The Politics of High Tech Production in the Philippines* (2006), and co-editor of *Precarity and Belonging: Labor, Migration, and Noncitizenship* (2021), and *New Routes for Diaspora Studies* (2012). His work has also been published in *Ethnic and Racial Studies, Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies, Gender and Society, and the Journal of Comparative Asian Development*. He has also developed a series of community-initiated student-engaged research (CISER) projects conducted in Santa Cruz, California focusing on low-wage labor, affordable housing, and immigrant belonging.
Multi-Verse Methodologies: Lessons for Migration Research in Asia

Denise L. Spitzer
University of Alberta
spitzer@ualberta.ca

The dynamic multi-layered complexities of migration demand research that both attends to diversities and specificities of localities, policies, and subject positions, while also accompanying salient and multi-directional migratory flows. In this paper, I argue that the development of a multi-nodal research network comprised of differently-situated partners who operate within and across national, disciplinary, and stakeholder borders offers the best response to these requirements. Drawing from our research project, The Lives of Migrant Remittances: An Asian Comparative Study (LOMR), which focuses on the meanings and distribution of financial and social remittances from Filipino and Indonesian migrant workers in Hong Kong and their uptake in their home countries, communities, and households, I outline how research network members grounded in disparate epistemic and ontological positions enrich our data collection processes and complicate our analytical frameworks. In accordance with feminist and participatory methodologies, the research team, comprised of academics, graduate students, and representatives from migrant advocacy and grassroots organizations—including current and former Hong Kong-based migrant workers, is conceptualized as a multi-nodal network. This structure allows for emergent design of research activities based on local conditions, enhances flexibility in response to shifting policies and innovations, and helps de-centre the privilege of academic scholars from the Global North. While the unequal distribution of resources, demands of funders, and challenges working across multiple temporal, organizational, and spatial realms can militate against flattened organizational hierarchies and decentralized decision-making, cross-border conversations and relationships amongst individuals that give rise to the development of the multi-nodal research networks aid in working through these issues.

Denise L. Spitzer is a Professor in the School of Public Health at the University of Alberta and an Adjunct Professor in the Institute of Feminist and Gender Studies at the University of Ottawa, Canada, where, from 2005-2015, she was the Canada Research Chair in Gender, Migration and Health and Principal Scientist in the Institute of Population Health. In addition to undergraduate studies in Biology, Chinese Language, and Music, she holds a Master’s degree and doctorate in Anthropology from the University of Alberta, Canada. Engaging in participatory research with migrant communities throughout Asia, Canada, and the Horn of Africa, Professor Spitzer is interested in examining how global processes, mediated through intersectionality, are implicated in health and wellbeing.
Re-Embedding the Natural Environment in Asian Migrations through Feminist Political Ecology

Christine Gibb
Department of Geography and Planning, and the Asian Institute of the Munk School of Global Affairs, University of Ottawa
cgibb2@uottawa.ca

Piguet (2013) calls for re-embedding the natural environment as an important factor in human mobility, in actively shaping and being shaped by complex migration and other social, economic, political and environmental processes. Asia’s diverse migration streams and susceptibility to environmental change, among other factors, position the region as a fruitful site for such re-embedding. This paper explores how to do so. First, it reflects upon the ability of particular techniques, including interviews, go-alongs, workshops, reflexive blogging, and participatory videos and mapping activities, to unpack environmental migration-led spatialities and temporalities after Typhoon Sendong in Northern Mindanao, Philippines. The techniques themselves reveal diverse, sometimes contradictory, aspects of migration processes. These techniques focus attention onto the bodily aspects of both migration and migration research (cf. Longhurst, Ho, and Johnston 2008); they centre the bodies of migrant and non-migrant subjects as the locus of migration challenges and impacts (Silvey and Lawson 1999). Second, the paper situates these findings in a political ecology framework. Adopting a political ecology approach avoids reproducing the thinly veiled racisms, nationalisms and social privilege characteristic of natural determinism—a key contributor to the disappearance of the environment from migration studies in much of 20th century, and the alarmist warnings of waves of environmental refugees that sparked the reappearance of the environment in migration studies in the 1980s and 1990s (Piguet 2013). Instead, a political ecology approach, and the methodological techniques underpinning it, re-place the natural environment in a contemporary, socially progressive migration agenda.

Christine Gibb is a postdoctoral fellow in the Department of Geography and Planning, and the Asian Institute of the Munk School of Global Affairs at the University of Toronto, where she is researching how gendered religious practices, institutions and norms determine access to disaster assistance in Southeast Asia. Her doctoral research investigated a specific case of environmental migration in the Philippines, and focused on governmentality and exclusion in relocation sites and other post-disaster spaces. She has an interdisciplinary background, which includes studies in geography, biology, capacity development and extension, and international development. Her research interests include environmental migration, global environmental change, feminist geography, post-disaster reconstruction, and accompanied research methodologies.
Ample/Idle?: Critical Ethnography of Migrant Youth’s Time during the Pandemic

Phianphachong Intarat
University of Hawai‘i at Mānoa
pintarat@hawaii.edu

Ethnography is largely about being there and observing the ‘unspoken.’ The COVID-19 pandemic intensifies the ethical tension between a researcher’s project and the well-being of the vulnerable community studied. How can a research project continue in a way that mutually benefits both parties?

My ethnographic research uses the concept of “generation” to understand migration temporalities of the Myanmar-Muslim noncitizens in Mae Sot, a Thai-Burmese border town. By looking across generations, I study how temporality as a characteristic of noncitizen’s lived experiences varies depending on their intersectional identity, including ages. Moreover, the generational lens recenter the social reproduction of migrant labor power usually excluded from labor migration policy narratives.

Due to the pandemic, I adjusted my method by collaborating with the Help Without Frontier, a humanitarian organization in a project exploring the pandemic’s impacts on migrant children in Mae Sot. I consider this collaboration ‘critical ethnography’ as it served the community’s needs meanwhile empowering the researched population. In brief, the researchers trained a group of migrant youth with research ethics and data collection techniques to work in target communities. The primary data contributes both to humanitarian outcomes and to my research objectives. Moreover, the trainees learned the politics of knowledge production regarding their own community.

The finding confirms my pre-pandemic data about a tension between temporal resource and migrant youth’s agency. While they have more time than their parents, they don’t have much authority over how to spend it. Migration studies scholars conceptualize undocumented (adult) migrants’ temporality as “trapped.” This paper demonstrates a comparison of migrant youth’s time as “open-ended.” If it is spent as a long-term investment in education, their chance of regularizing status increases. But the parents’ immobility caused by the pandemic forced the family to cash this resource for immediate purpose—working as child labor during the crisis.

Phianphachong Intarat is a PhD Candidate in Anthropology, University of Hawai‘i at Mānoa. In September 2019, she started conducting ethnographic research in a Myanmar-Muslim community in Mae Sot, a Thai westernmost border town across Myanmar. Her research explores the lived experiences of stateless Myanmar-Muslims to understand how their intersectional identities contribute to heterogeneous noncitizenship, the characteristic that challenges a long-standing binary between citizenship as a legal status, and the lack of it. When the COVID-19 pandemic hit hard, Phianphachong was under lockdown in her research site and inevitably had to alter her research methodology to advance the project. While coping with her research challenges, Phianphachong also witnessed intensified inequality and resilience in the Myanmar-Muslim community. She looks forward to discussing both methodological dilemmas and the experiences of noncitizens in Thailand with other scholars in the workshop.
Thank You for Your Patience but I Can’t Go Out! Methodological and Ethical Reflections on the Temporalities and Spatialities of Working with Filipina Domestic Workers
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Several works have conceptuality enriched the spatiality and temporality of migrancy in recent years. On the one hand, they describe the hierarchical (Paul, 2017), circuitous (Parreñas, Silvey, Hwang, & Choi, 2018), and crisscrossing (Parreñas, 2021) spatial patterns of international migration. On the other hand, they account for the temporary (Liu Farrer & Yeoh, 2018), contemporaneous (Ho, 2018), and staggard (Robertson, 2019) temporal configuration of migrancy. While there are rich conceptual handles for the temporal and spatial experiences of migrants, there has been a lack of methodological and ethical reflections from migration scholars on how they engage with the material or tangible inequalities related to time and space among the migrants they work with.

This paper offers methodological and ethical reflections about working with ten Filipina Domestic Workers (FDWS) during the COVID-19 pandemic in Singapore. By using the researchers and the participants’ experiences during the course of a research project, this paper engages with the following questions:

• How do researchers navigate the intersections of various time cultures such as the polychronic Filipino time that FDWs bring with; the transactional and rigid monochronic time (Hall, 1983) that academic researchers adhere to; and the pronounced mobilechronic temporality (Chung & Lim, 2005) during the pandemic?

• How do researchers use various space of place and space of flows (Castells, et al., 2007) in their work with FDWs that are cognizant of institutional, cultural, and racial dynamics of academia and labor migration in contemporary Singapore?

In grappling with these questions, we tap into conceptual and methodological resources from Sikolohiyang Pilipino (Filipino Psychology) (Enriquez, 1975; Pe Pua & Protacio-Marcelino, 2000) to forward an ‘indigenization from within’ Filipino migration, and potentially nuance this approach from a transnational and diasporic context.
Elizer Jay de los Reyes is a Postdoctoral Fellow at the Department of Communications and New Media, Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences, National University of Singapore. His current work examines transnational connections between migrant Filipina domestic workers in Singapore and their left-behind families in the Cordilleras, northern Philippines. He investigates how the transnationalization of families shapes intergenerational mobility and educational aspirations. Jay was previously a Fulbright scholar at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign; an NYU-Steinhardt Faculty-First Look Scholar, and a Melbourne International Research Scholar at The University of Melbourne where he obtained his PhD in Sociology and Cross-Cultural Education.

Audrey Yue is Professor in Media, Culture and Critical Theory, Head of Communications and New Media, Convenor of the Cultural Studies in Asia multidisciplinary programme, and Director of the Cultural Research Centre at the National University of Singapore. Before returning to Singapore and joining NUS in July 2017, she was a Professor in Cultural Studies and Director of the Research Unit in Public Cultures at the University of Melbourne. She is trained as a Humanities scholar, and now conducts and publishes research across both Humanities and Social Sciences. Her research covers the fields of transnational Chinese media cultures; cultural policy and development, and Asian gender studies. She is currently International Partner Investigator in four Australian Research Council and Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada funded projects on arts participation in the smart city; young people and multiculturalism, and; Asian media cities.
Migrants are increasingly engaging in multiple migrations, resulting in more multidirectional and messy trajectories that go beyond a unidirectional relocation from origin to destination country. The increasingly complex mobile practices of individual migrants also have important implications for their transnational family relationships and practices. The migration of one family member can often spur relational practices of mobility for other family members in the form of short-term visits, long-term family reunifications and circular mobility within family networks. As such, the migration trajectories of transnational family members are often closely interwoven. A multi-sited migration trajectory approach (Schwarz, 2020) serves as a useful methodological tool to closely track and follow the twists and turns of a migrant’s complex movements in relation to the movements of their family members. However, such a long-term and long-distance approach can be time consuming and expensive. Furthermore, the current climate of restricted mobility and travel restrictions in light of COVID-19 has rendered such an approach almost impossible. In this paper, I reflect on my experience adapting an inherently multi-sited research approach to the immobile conditions of the pandemic. I combine three different kinds of digital technologies in order to circumvent the need for overseas travel. First, in order to emulate as best as possible the idea of ‘following’ respondents on their migration journeys, I adopt Buckle’s (2020) method combining geospatial technologies and in-depth interviews where participants narrate their migration stories alongside Google Earth’s satellite imagery. Second, I conduct interviews virtually via Zoom with respondents’ family members living abroad in order to reproduce a multi-sited and relational understanding of transnational family relations. Third, I use Doughtery and Ilyankou (2021)’s Storymapping framework as a visualisation tool for analysing the trajectories of individual migrants in-relation to their family members. I present three Storymaps from my research in order to discuss the difficulties and opportunities for incorporating digital elements in traditional biographical research methods. Through a critical and reflexive evaluation of my research methods, I consider more broadly the implications of conducting migration research during the pandemic.
Mapping the Short-Term Placemaking Practices of Millennial Nomads
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Millennial nomads, globally dispersed and peripatetic individuals whose travel freedom is in fact contingent on each individual’s motility, are imbued with a different understanding of distance and time. Although millennials are commonly identified by their age cohort i.e. currently between twenties and mid-thirties, they are more definitively identified by a common mindset and shared values. As the first generation of digital natives who grew up internet literate and accepting the entanglement of personalised technological devices as an everyday norm, these individuals, leveraging on twenty-first century affordances in mobility and travel (Urry, 2007), personify geographical liberation as well as ‘everywhereness’ (Scott, 2016) in their short-term placemaking practices. The rise of millennial nomads have come to challenge the conventional work, life and education triadic relationship, motivated by their need for location-independence and technological integration. Singapore, in particular, is recognized as the destination for millennials for employment prospects rather than tourism (Maynard, 2019). Rather than emphasizing transience and movement, our research on multi-mobile millennial nomads considers the moment of ‘stillness’ when a short-term address is in place, to supply a balancing perspective. The digital diffusion allows for new possibilities in connecting analysis and intervention in social research through the use of digital methods and approaches (Pink, 2009; Marres, 2017). A mixed methodology, consisting of semi-structured closed interviews and social media analysis, guides the qualitative ethnographic study on the spatiality and temporality of millennial nomad placemaking across different scales, with data visualization and analysis utilizing digital tools i.e. ARCGIS, word clouds and visual media.

Simone Shu-Yeng Chung is Assistant Professor at the Department of Architecture, National University of Singapore. She holds a PhD in Architecture and MPhil from University of Cambridge, and an MSc from University College London. After completing her studies at the Bartlett School of Architecture, UCL, and the Architectural Association, she practiced as a chartered architect in London.

Mary Ann Ng graduated with a Masters of Architecture from the National University of Singapore (NUS) and is currently the Curatorial Assistant for the Singapore Pavilion at the 17th Venice Biennale International Architecture Exhibition. She was also research assistant on Dr Chung’s MOE Tier 1 AcRF project *Deciphering the Spatial Rhetorics of Millennial Nomads* at the School of Design and Environment, NUS.
Disrupting the Urban Labour Archive: Common Sense and Life-Histories of Migrant Workers in Delhi
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Changes and continuities in patterns of internal migration and circulatory labour flows within the national context have largely fallen out of the purview of contemporary critical research on migration and mobility and continue to be consigned to the realm of demography or population studies. While reproducing a causal loop of "state-centrism" in migration research, this tendency also strengthens the existing divide between scholars of internal and international migration (Skeldon 2006; King 2011). This paper suggests life-history analysis of internal migrant workers as a means of diversifying and enriching our understanding of the dynamics of Asian city-making, especially in countries such as India which experience high volumes of back-and-forth migration between rural and urban areas and across sub-national borders.

While life-history interviewing has been a rich resource for scholars of trans-national migration and has featured extensively in ethnographies of work and employment, little sustained reflection exists on its contributions and suitability to the study of internal labour migrants and their relationship to urban space in Asian cities. Building on the life histories of informally-employed migrant construction workers living in nine tehsils around Delhi, I argue life-history analysis in migration research disrupts formal urban and labour archives by allowing for the study of, a) ephemeral urban spaces such as demolished settlements, daily labour markets, and shifting unregistered workplaces and, b) informal labour migrants whose multi-causal and multi-directional mobilities and presence in the city remain undocumented although they comprise the bulk of the labour force in urban place-based industries such as construction.

Debolina Majumder is a PhD candidate in the Department of Geography at the University of Cambridge. She received her Bachelor’s degree in History and International Relations and her Master’s degree in Geography from the University of British Columbia, Canada. Her doctoral research grapples with the transitory but recurring urban landscapes within which migrant construction workers continue to work and live in Delhi and asks how the transience and informality of construction labour (and work) is reproduced in and through urban space.
Uneven Migrations: Locating Place and Practice in Temporary Labour Migration from Sri Lanka
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Unequivocal shifts in the scale, diversity and direction of migration flows throughout Asia have demanded growing recognition of the complex dynamics of transnational population movements across Southern borders, whether rigid or porous. By contrast, the well-trodden corridors of low-wage temporary labour migration that bridge South and West Asia have been enduringly framed with reference to a ‘migration-development’ debate that too often overlooks the contextual-specificity of local geographies and institutions in shaping disparate migration experiences. Within the contours of ‘the debate’ countries of origin are frequently homogenised by structure-centric and agency-centric theories alike, seen to be populated either by reserve armies of labour or by bland utility-maximising actors. In Sri Lanka, where menial foreign employment in the Gulf is a deeply entrenched survival strategy for a variety of distinct ethno-religious communities, the reification of migrant labour within totalising development paradigms has entailed methodological nationalism that obscures a broader spectrum of lived experiences. Multi-sited qualitative research across Sri Lanka instead reveals geographically specific economies of gender, ethnicity and class that inform the developmental circumstances of migration and, within these sites, heterogeneous migration cultures that reflect in situ institutional adaptation. This paper frames the methodological importance of place and practice in migration-development research by analysing differing causes for, experiences during and outcomes of temporary labour migration for one Muslim and one Tamil-Hindu community on opposite sides of the country. Findings reveal deeply uneven migrations emerging from the interplay of social, cultural, political and economic institutions that are shaped and bound by spatial fixity.

Matt Withers is a research fellow within the Department of Sociology at Macquarie University. His research is concerned with the developmental implications of temporary labour migration and remittances, both in Sri Lanka and throughout the Asia-Pacific region. His work adopts a multiscalar approach to migration dynamics and draws attention to local geographies and institutions as key sites of understanding through which to reconcile structural analysis with diverse and contextually-specific experiences of development and underdevelopment. His current project looks at how temporary labour migration intersects with work and care arrangements within migrant households in the Pacific, adopting time-use research to map changes in unpaid care provision, and calls for a ‘decent care’ agenda that frames support for gender-equitable social reproduction as integral to decent work and sustainable development.
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How notions of migration transform with health remains a largely unexplored topic, yet it is a crucial lens for analysing the convergence of migratory, medical and policy infrastructures. Sri Lankan migrant workers in Kuwait are in transition between varying approaches to body and health, systems of healthcare and rights, as well as regulations on medical assessment and labour recruitment. In researching migrants’ experiences, physical, emotional and symbolic transitions are probed alongside the roles of public and private actors to create politically-relevant accounts. This paper discusses the methodology of conducting ethnography of migrants’ health and healthcare entitlement across the different spaces of Kuwait and Sri Lanka and producing intimate accounts using a multi-scalar perspective. It investigates the value of researching migrants’ experiences of healthcare while attending to meso contexts of medical, recruitment and juridical settings, as well as macro frames of regulations. The research draws on political anthropology that elaborates ideas of migrants’ ‘health-related deservingness’ (Willen 2012) and how health is constructed by agents and produced through structures of differentiation and inequality (Fassin 2007). Research is being conducted in Kuwait and Sri Lanka from 2018-2020, and includes participant observation, interviews with migrants and key secondary informants and a small survey. The paper argues that migration means different things to Sri Lankans who navigate healthcare and bodily surveillance across contexts and the frontier of healing processes and policies. Consequently, re-focusing methodological questions of intra-Asian migration research through health can re-cast understandings of the ethical, discursive and political forces of migration occurring across interconnected domains.
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The experience of a parent migrating away for work impacts not only individuals but relationships within the family that stays behind. Currently, conclusions drawn on the negative health impacts of migration on left-behind children (Fellmeth et al., 2018) mainly come from data analysed on the individual level. Many statistical techniques frequently used in migration research are inadequate for testing interdependence effects within the family unit.

The data for the current study is from the project—Migration and Health Impacts on Cambodia Children and Families (MHICCaF), with 618 pairs of adolescents of migrant parents and their caregivers, and 114 comparison pairs from non-migrant households. The Actor-Partner Interdependence Model (APIM, Kashy & Kenny, 2000) is applied to detect dependent and interdependent effects of positive parenting on adolescents and caregiver’s resilience. The analyses use structural equation modeling with maximum likelihood estimation using the program Lavaan in R (Rosseel, 2012).

The data supports the actor-only model: adolescent’s resilience is influenced by their own perceptions of parenting/caregiving, but not by how their caregivers perceived parenting/caregiving; and a similar pattern is observed for the caregivers. After controlling for effects of parenting, adolescents in migrant households have lower scores of self-reported resilience regardless of whether they are cared for by their parents or non-parental caregivers.

Results reveal differential relationships between parenting/caregiving and resilience at both the individual and dyadic levels based parental migrant status providing novel insight into family dynamics in the context of migration. Such methodology also can be further applied to examine the linkages between the migrants and those who stay behind.
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